

BIAP Recommendation 06/13:

Evaluation of autonomy in the use of a hearing aid

General foreword

This document presents a Recommendation by the International Bureau for Audiophonology BIAP. A BIAP Recommendation provides a reference standard for the conduct of an audiological or phonological intervention that represents, to the best knowledge of BIAP, the evidence base and good practice concerning the stated methodology and scope of the document at the time of publication.

Although care has been taken in preparing the information supplied, BIAP does not and cannot guarantee the interpretation and application of it. BIAP cannot be held liable for any errors or omissions, and BIAP accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss or damage howsoever arising. This document shall be effective until superseded or withdrawn by BIAP.

Comments on this document are welcomed and should be sent to the Secretary-General of the International bureau for Audiophonology BIAP. The address can be found on the BIAP website at <u>www.biap.org</u>.

Introduction

When a person with impaired hearing wears a hearing device, this involves certain constraints in its daily management (setting up and using the hearing aid functions, changing or recharging batteries, using maintenance products...).

These elements are clearly explained to the patient by the audiologist when fitting the hearing aid and during the prosthetic follow up.

However, certain patients can find some of these actions difficult, and this can lead to the patient misusing the hearing device or even giving up wearing it altogether.

For this reason, assessing the autonomy of each patient as regards the daily management of his/her hearing device is a vitally important element.

This analysis makes it possible to objectively measure a patient's autonomy at any age and for any type of hearing device (conventional hearing aids, implants, technical aids ...).

The assessment can be done at any time and by any member of the multidisciplinary team working with the patient (ORL specialist, geriatrician, general practitioner, audiologist, nurse, nursing auxiliary...).

Once the assessment shows that autonomy is compromised for one of the 10 items on the grid, a solution should be found and included in a personalised care plan for the patient with a hearing aid. This action should enable the device to be worn effectively in the best possible conditions, and allow the patient to derive maximum benefit from his/her hearing device.

Recommendation

See next page





Assessment grid for autonomy in the use of a hearing device

Patient's first and last names:			
Date of birth: / /			
Patient's situation:			
□ Own home without assistance □ Own home with assistance			
□ Geriatric institution □ Specialist instit		tution	
Type of hearing aid:			
□ Behind-the-ear (BTE) □ Completely-in-the-canal (CIC) □ Receiver-in-the-ear (RITE) □ Life tube □ Cochlear implant □ Middle Ear Implant □ Bone-anchored-hearing-aid □ Other			
Assessor's first and last names:			
Assessor's occupation:			
Date of assessment: / / Patient's age at the time of assessment:			
1.	Contacts audiologist	□ Autonomous	Needs help
2.	Goes to see audiologist	Autonomous	Needs help
3.	Differentiates between the left and right hearing aids	□ Autonomous	□ Needs help
4.	Puts hearing aid in	Autonomous	Needs help
5.	Removes hearing aid	□ Autonomous	Needs help
6.	Starts and stops hearing aid	Autonomous	Needs help
7.	Changes battery or accumulator Charges hearing aids	□ Autonomous	Needs help
8.	Cleans the hearing aid every day	□ Autonomous	Needs help
9.	Understands the instructions for using the hearing aid (taking the hearing aid out at night, when washing,)	□ Autonomous	Needs help
10.	Notices a malfunction in the hearing aid (ask the patient to put the hearing aid in after having removed the battery, and ask patient if it is working properly)	Autonomous	Needs help

Comments:



References

ATCHY.C, LOUSTEAU.M, BOUCCERED J.K, TAURAND.S, TAURAND.Th, SAN JULLIAN.M, VERGNON.L. Etude de l'adhésion des sujets déments à un projet d'appareillage auditif. Etude GRAP. La revue de Gériatrie. Dossier Presbyacousie Deuxième partie. 2011: 90 – 97.

D.DURRANT J, COLLETTE J-L, VEUILLET E. Réhabilitation prothétique du patient atteint d'une maladie d'Alzheimer : une modélisation comportementale. Les Cahiers de l'Audition, Vol 24, Mai – Juin 2011, N°3.

DUFOUR-KIPPELEN S. Les incapacités des personnes de 60 ans et plus résidant en institution. DREES, Etudes et résultats. N°138 Octobre 2011.

KRICOS P. Audiologic management of adults with hearing loss and compromise cognitive/psychoacoustic auditory processing capabilities. Trends in amplification. November 2006, Vol 10, N°1.

MADJLESSI A. Presbyacousie et « 4ème âge». Prise en charge en institution : suivi et formation des personnels. Les Cahiers de l'Audition, Volume 25, Nov/Dec 2012 – Numéro 6. 48 – 49.

SCHOW RONALD L. Success of Hearing Aid Fitting in Nursing Homes. Ear and Hearing. Volume 3, 1982.

This recommendation was created and approved in a multidisciplinary cooperation between professionals of all audiophonologic disciplines, which are medicine, pedagogy, speech therapy, psychology and hearing instrument audiology.

The original language of this document is French.

BIAP authorizes the broadcasting of documents available on its Web site but forbids any modification of their contents.

President of the commission 06: Christian Renard (France)

Members of the commission 06: Ahsen Enderle Ammour (Germany), Eric Bizaguet (France),

Edilène Boechat (Brazil), Andrea Bohnert (Germany), Christine Dagain (France), Laurent Demanez (Belgium), Benoit Dierge (Belgium), Manfred Drach (Germany),

Francois Fagnoul (Belgium), Haralampos Gouveris (Germany), Beate Gromke (Germany), Kristin Kerkhofs (Belgium), Anne Kerouedan (France), Heidrun Krause (Germany), Gaston Madeira (Belgium), Doris R. Lewis (Brazil), Christian Renard (France), Thierry Renglet (Belgium), Philippe Samain (France), Ghislaine Schram (Switzerland), Claire Vander Heyden (Belgium), Patrick Verheyden (Belgium), Thomas Wiesner (Germany), Fritz Zajicek (Austria).

Malta, April 29, 2013

Keywords: autonomy, evaluation, hearing aid.